A frequency-robust solver for the time-harmonic eddy current problem

Michael Kolmbauer

Institute of Computational Mathematics, Johannes Kepler University
Altenberger Str. 69, 4040 Linz, Austria

Ulrich Langer

Institute of Computational Mathematics, Johannes Kepler University
Altenberger Str. 69, 4040 Linz, Austria

Technical Reports before 1998:

1995

95-1	Hedwig Brandstetter	
	Was ist neu in Fortran 90?	March 1995
95-2	G. Haase, B. Heise, M. Kuhn, U. Langer	
	Adaptive Domain Decomposition Methods for Finite and Boundary Element Equations.	August 1995
95-3	Joachim Schöberl	
	An Automatic Mesh Generator Using Geometric Rules for Two and Three Space Dimensions.	August 1995

1996

96-1	Ferdinand Kickinger	
	Automatic Mesh Generation for 3D Objects.	February 1996
96-2	Mario Goppold, Gundolf Haase, Bodo Heise und Michael Kuhn	
	Preprocessing in BE/FE Domain Decomposition Methods.	February 1996
96-3	Bodo Heise	
	A Mixed Variational Formulation for 3D Magnetostatics and its Finite Element Discretisation.	February 1996
96-4	Bodo Heise und Michael Jung	
	Robust Parallel Newton-Multilevel Methods.	February 1996
96-5	Ferdinand Kickinger	
	Algebraic Multigrid for Discrete Elliptic Second Order Problems.	February 1996
96-6	Bodo Heise	
	A Mixed Variational Formulation for 3D Magnetostatics and its Finite Element Discretisation.	May 1996
96-7	Michael Kuhn	
	Benchmarking for Boundary Element Methods.	June 1996

1997

97-1	Bodo Heise, Michael Kuhn and Ulrich Langer	
	A Mixed Variational Formulation for 3D Magnetostatics in the Space $H(rot) \cap H(div)$	February 1997
97-2	Joachim Schöberl	
	Robust Multigrid Preconditioning for Parameter Dependent Problems I: The Stokes-type Case.	June 1997
97-3	Ferdinand Kickinger, Sergei V. Nepomnyaschikh, Ralf Pfau, Joachim Schöberl	
	Numerical Estimates of Inequalities in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}$.	August 1997
97-4	Joachim Schöberl	
	Programmbeschreibung NAOMI 2D und Algebraic Multigrid.	September 1997

A FREQUENCY-ROBUST SOLVER FOR THE TIME-HARMONIC EDDY CURRENT PROBLEM

MICHAEL KOLMBAUER AND ULRICH LANGER

ABSTRACT. This work is devoted to fast and parameter-robust iterative solvers for frequency domain finite element equations, approximating the eddy current problem with harmonic excitation. We construct a preconditioned Min-Res solver for the frequency domain equations, that is robust (= parameter–independent) in both the discretization parameter h and the frequency ω.

1. Introduction

In many practical applications, the excitation is time-harmonic. Switching from the time domain to the frequency domain allows us to replace expensive time-integration procedures by the solution of a system of partial differential equations for the amplitudes belonging to the sine- and to the cosine-excitation. Following this strategy Copeland et al. [6, 7] and Bachinger et al. [4, 5] applied harmonic and multiharmonic approaches to parabolic initial-boundary value problems and the eddy current problem, respectively. Indeed, in [6] a MinRes solver for the solution of parabolic initial-boundary value problems is constructed, that is robust with respect to both the discretization parameter h and the frequency ω. The aim of this work is to generalize these ideas to the eddy current problem. Due to the non-trivial kernel of the **curl**-operator, the generalization of this solver is not straight forward. In order to achieve a positive definite reformulation of the frequency domain equations, we perform a regularization in terms of an additional gauging term. The regularized problem can be solved in a MinRes setting, applying a preconditioning technique proposed by Schöberl and Zulehner [18].

2. Frequency domain FEM

As a model problem we consider the eddy current problem with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition and an inhomogeneous initial condition.

$$\begin{cases} \sigma\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{curl}\,(\nu\,\mathbf{curl}\,\mathbf{u}) & = & \mathbf{f} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T] \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},0) & = & \mathbf{0} & \text{in } \bar{\Omega} \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) & = & \mathbf{u_0} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0,T] \end{cases}$$

We assume, that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain . The reluctivity $\nu = \nu(\mathbf{x})$ is supposed to be independent of $|\mathbf{curl}\,\mathbf{u}|$, i.e. we assume that the eddy current problem (1) is linear. The conductivity σ is piecewise constant and zero in non-conducting regions. We assume that the source $\mathbf{f}$ is weakly divergence free. Bachinger et al. [4] provide existence und uniqueness results for linear and non-linear eddy current problems in appropriate gauged spaces.

Furthermore we assume that $\mathbf{f}$ is given by a time-harmonic excitation with frequency $\omega > 0$ and amplitudes $\mathbf{f^c}$ and $\mathbf{f^s}$. Therefore the solution $\mathbf{u}$ is time-harmonic as well, with the same base frequency ω:

$$(1) \qquad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{u^c}(\mathbf{x})\cos(\omega t) + i\mathbf{u^s}(\mathbf{x})\sin(\omega t).$$

In fact, (1) is the real reformulation of a complex time-harmonic approach $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})e^{i\omega t}$. Using the real-valued time-harmonic representation of the solution (1), we can state the eddy current problem (1) in the frequency domain as follows:

(2)   Find $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u^c}, \mathbf{u^s})$: $\begin{cases} \mathbf{curl}\,(\nu\,\mathbf{curl}\,\mathbf{u^c}) + \omega\,\sigma\,\mathbf{u^s} & = & \mathbf{f^c}, \\ \mathbf{curl}\,(\nu\,\mathbf{curl}\,\mathbf{u^s}) - \omega\,\sigma\,\mathbf{u^c} & = & \mathbf{f^s}. \end{cases}$

The finite element discretization of the variational formulation of (2) with lowest order edge elments, introduced by Nédélec in [11, 12], yields the following system of linear equations

(3) $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A_h} & \omega\mathbf{M}_{\sigma,\mathbf{h}} \\ -\omega\mathbf{M}_{\sigma,\mathbf{h}} & \mathbf{A_h} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u_h^c} \\ \mathbf{u_h^s} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f_h^c} \\ \mathbf{f_h^s} \end{pmatrix}$

with stiffness matrix $\mathbf{A_h}$ and mass matrix $\mathbf{M}_{\sigma,\mathbf{h}}$.

3. Exact regularization

Eddy current problems are essentially different for conducting ($\sigma > 0$) and non-conducting regions ($\sigma = 0$). In order to gain uniqueness in the non-conducting regions, we persue an exact regularization strategy.

Due to the non-trivial kernel of the **curl**-operator, the resulting stiffness matrix $\mathbf{A_h}$ is only positive semi-definite. However, for later preconditioning purposes, we require that the sum of certain blocks of the system matrix (3) is positive definite. In order to achieve that, we follow a gauging strategy proposed by Kuhn [10]. The regularized variational problem reads as

(4)   Find $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u^c}, \mathbf{u^s}) \in H(\mathbf{curl})^2$: $a_Q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \langle F, \mathbf{v}\rangle, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in H(\mathbf{curl})^2$

with the regularized bilinear form

(5)
$$a_Q(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := \sum_{j \in \{c,s\}} \int_\Omega \nu\,\mathbf{curl}\,\mathbf{u^j}\mathbf{curl}\,\mathbf{v^j} + \omega\nabla P_D\mathbf{u^j}\nabla P_D\mathbf{v^j}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \omega \int_\Omega \sigma\,[\mathbf{u^c}\mathbf{v^s} - \mathbf{u^s}\mathbf{v^c}]\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.$$

Here $P_D : H(\mathbf{curl}) \to H_0^1(\Omega)$ is the Helmholtz projection (see e.g. [10]). For any $\mathbf{v} \in H(\mathbf{curl})$, $P_D\mathbf{v} := p$ is defined by the unique solution of the variational problem

(6) $(\nabla p, \nabla q)_{L_2(\Omega)} = (\mathbf{v}, \nabla q)_{L_2(\Omega)}, \quad \forall q \in H_0^1(\Omega).$

Hence we replace $\mathbf{A_h}$ by the sum of $\mathbf{A_h}$ and a regularization term $\omega\mathbf{Q_h}$, i.e. $\mathbf{A_h} + \omega\mathbf{Q_h}$. Here $\mathbf{Q_h}$ is the discretization of the operator $\mathbf{Q}$, defined by $(\mathbf{Q}\,\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{L_2(\Omega)} := \int_\Omega \nabla P_D\mathbf{u}\nabla P_D\mathbf{v}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$, by Nédélec finite elements of lowest order.

(7) $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A_h} + \omega\mathbf{Q_h} & \omega\mathbf{M}_{\sigma,\mathbf{h}} \\ -\omega\mathbf{M}_{\sigma,\mathbf{h}} & \mathbf{A_h} + \omega\mathbf{Q_h} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u_h^c} \\ \mathbf{u_h^s} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f_h^c} \\ \mathbf{f_h^s} \end{pmatrix}.$

The operator P_D and hence the matrix $\mathbf{Q_h}$ are chosen in such a way, that on the one hand it ensures the positive definiteness of the block $\mathbf{A_h} + \omega\mathbf{Q_h}$ and on the other hand $\mathbf{Q_h}\mathbf{u_h^{c/s}}$ vanishes at the solution, i.e. the regularized system (7) and the original system (3) have one and the same solution. The proof of the equivalence of the original and exact regularized problem (4) follows the same steps as in [10].

4. MinRes preconditioner

For preconditioning purpose we have to reformulate the system (7) with a positive definite but block skew-symmetric system matrix, as a symmetric but indefinite one. This system can be solved by a preconditioned MinRes method [13]. The key points for the construction of a parameter robust preconditioner are the introduction of a non-standard norm in $H(\mathbf{curl})$ and the theorem of Babuška-Aziz [2].

The symmetric and indefinite reformulation of the variational formulation with a positive definite but skew-symmetric bilinear form (4) is given by:

$$(8) \quad \text{Find } (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in H(\mathbf{curl})^2 : \quad \mathcal{A}_M((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{\omega} \mathbf{f}^c \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{f}^s \mathbf{w} \right] d\mathbf{x}$$

for all $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \in H(\mathbf{curl})^2$, with the scaled vectors $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{u}^s, \frac{1}{\omega} \mathbf{u}^c)$ and $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = (\omega \mathbf{v}^c, \mathbf{v}^s)$ and the symmetrised bilinear form $\mathcal{A}_M(\cdot, \cdot)$, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_M((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})) &= (\sigma \, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})_{L_2(\Omega)} - \omega^2 (\sigma \, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w})_{L_2(\Omega)} \\
&+ (\nu \, \mathbf{curl} \, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{curl} \, \mathbf{v})_{L_2(\Omega)} + \omega (\nabla P_D \mathbf{y}, \nabla P_D \mathbf{v})_{L_2(\Omega)} \\
&+ (\nu \, \mathbf{curl} \, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{curl} \, \mathbf{w})_{L_2(\Omega)} + \omega (\nabla P_D \mathbf{x}, \nabla P_D \mathbf{w})_{L_2(\Omega)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we can reformulate the block skew-symmetric and positive definite system (7) as a symmetric but indefinite system (9) with system matrix $\mathbf{D_h}$:

$$(9) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{\sigma,\mathbf{h}} & \mathbf{A_h} + \omega \mathbf{Q_h} \\ \mathbf{A_h} + \omega \mathbf{Q_h} & -\omega^2 \mathbf{M}_{\sigma,\mathbf{h}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u_h^s} \\ \frac{1}{\omega} \mathbf{u_h^c} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\omega} \mathbf{f_h^c} \\ \mathbf{f_h^s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next we construct a block-diagonal preconditioner according to the preconditioning technique proposed by Schöberl and Zulehner [18]. We introduce the non-standard norm $\| \cdot \|_{V_M}$ in $H(\mathbf{curl})$

$$(10) \quad \|\mathbf{y}\|_{V_M}^2 = \frac{1}{\omega} \left[(\nu \, \mathbf{curl} \, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{curl} \, \mathbf{y})_{L_2(\Omega)} + \omega \|\nabla P_D \mathbf{y}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \omega (\sigma \, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})_{L_2(\Omega)} \right].$$

Note, that the regularization term ensures, that this norm is well defined even in non-conducting regions. This definition gives rise to a non-standard norm $\| \cdot \|_{Q_M}$ in the product space $H(\mathbf{curl})^2$

$$(11) \qquad \|(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\|_{Q_M}^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_{V_M}^2 + \omega^2 \|\mathbf{y}\|_{V_M}^2.$$

Lemma 1. *We have*

$$(12) \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\|_{Q_M} \leq \sup_{0 \neq (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \in H(\mathbf{curl})^2} \frac{\mathcal{A}_M((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}))}{\|(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\|_{Q_M}} \leq \|(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\|_{Q_M}.$$

Proof. Boundedness follows from reapplication of Cauchy's inequality. The lower estimate can be attained by choosing $\mathbf{v} = \omega \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{\omega} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$. □

Since we are dealing with conforming finite elements, the estimate (12) is also valid in the Nédélec finite element subspace. Hence, it follows by the theorem of Babuška-Aziz, that there exists a unique solution of the corresponding variational problem (8), and that the solution continuously depends on the data, uniformly on ω and σ. Hence we conclude that the block-diagonal preconditioner

$$(13) \qquad \mathbf{C_h} = \frac{1}{\omega} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_\mathbf{h} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \omega^2 \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_\mathbf{h} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_\mathbf{h} = \omega(\mathbf{M}_{\sigma,\mathbf{h}} + \mathbf{Q_h}) + \mathbf{A_h}$, is robust with respect to both the discretization parameter h and the parameters ω and σ. Thus the spectral condition number (15) of the preconditioned system

$$(14) \qquad \mathbf{C_h}^{-1} \mathbf{D_h} \mathbf{u_h} = \mathbf{C_h}^{-1} \mathbf{f_h}$$

can be estimated by a constant c that is independent of h, ω and σ i.e.

$$(15) \qquad \kappa(\mathbf{C_h}^{-1} \mathbf{D_h}) := \|\mathbf{C_h}^{-1} \mathbf{D_h}\|_{\mathbf{C_h}} \|\mathbf{D_h}^{-1} \mathbf{C_h}\|_{\mathbf{C_h}} \leq c \neq c(\omega, h, \sigma).$$

Therefore the number of MinRes iterations required for reducing the initial error by some fixed factor $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ is independent of the discretization parameter h and the frequency ω.

In practice, the diagonal blocks $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_\mathbf{h}$ in (13) are replaced by some appropriate preconditioners, e.g. by robust multigrid preconditioners as proposed in [1].

TABLE 1. Number of MinRes iterations for reducing the initial residual by 10^{-6}.

DOF	$\log_{10}\omega$	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
2x98	$h = 0.5$	3	3	3	5	7	14	12	12	8	6	4	4	2
2x604	$h = 0.25$	3	3	3	5	7	14	15	16	14	8	6	4	4
2x4184	$h = 0.125$	3	3	3	5	7	13	15	16	16	12	6	4	4
2x31024	$h = 0.0625$	3	3	3	5	7	13	15	16	16	14	8	6	4

TABLE 2. Number of MinRes iterations for reducing the initial residual by 10^{-6}.

DOF	$\log_{10}\sigma_2$	-4	-3	-2	-1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
2x98	$h = 0.5$	7	7	7	7	13	15	14	8	8	8	7	7
2x604	$h = 0.25$	6	6	6	7	11	15	16	12	8	8	7	7
2x4184	$h = 0.125$	5	5	6	6	11	15	16	16	10	8	7	7
2x31024	$h = 0.0625$	5	5	5	6	9	15	17	18	14	8	8	7

Theorem 1 (Entire robust and optimal solver). *The MinRes method applied to the preconditioned system (14) converges. At the m-th iteration, the preconditioned residual* $\mathbf{r_h^m} = \mathbf{C_h}^{-1}\mathbf{f_h} - \mathbf{C_h}^{-1}\mathbf{D_h}\mathbf{u_h^m}$ *is bounded as*

$$(16) \qquad \left\|\mathbf{r_h^{2m}}\right\|_{\mathbf{C_h}} \leq \frac{2q^m}{1+q^{2m}} \left\|\mathbf{r_h^0}\right\|_{\mathbf{C_h}} \quad where \quad q = \frac{\kappa(\mathbf{C_h}^{-1}\mathbf{D_h}) - 1}{\kappa(\mathbf{C_h}^{-1}\mathbf{D_h}) + 1}.$$

If we additionally apply the Arnold/Falk/Winther multigrid preconditioner [1] to the diagonal blocks, the whole convergence rate q is independent of ω and h.

Proof. The convergencerate of the MinRes method [13] can be found in [8]. Combining this result with the estimate of the condition number (15) and the multigrid convergence [1], yields the desired result. □

Since σ is not constant in general, we loose robustness with respect to σ in the multigrid procedure. Note that for constant σ, we additionally get robustness with respect to σ.

5. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Finally, we report two numerical tests for an academic three dimensional eddy current problem. The numerical results presented in this section were attained using ParMax [15]. First, we demonstrate the robustness of the block-diagonal preconditioner with respect to the frequency ω. Therefore, for the inversion of the diagonal blocks we use the exact solver PARDISO [16, 17]. Table 1 provides the number of MinRes iterations needed for reducing the initial residual by a factor of 10^{-6} for diffenent ω and h. These numerical experiment was performed for a three-dimensional linear problem on the unit-cube, discretized by tetrahedra for the case $\nu = \sigma = 1$. These experiment demonstrates the independence of the frequency and the meshsize as the number of iterations is bounded by 16. Next we repeat the numerical experiment for piecewise constant conductivity σ, i.e.

$$(17) \qquad \sigma = \begin{cases} \sigma_1 & \text{in } \Omega_1 = \{(x,y,z)^T \in [0,1]^3 : z > 0.5\} \\ \sigma_2 & \text{in } \Omega_2 = \{(x,y,z)^T \in [0,1]^3 : z \leq 0.5\} \end{cases}.$$

In Table 2 we give the number of iterations for fixed $\omega = 1$ and $\sigma_1 = 1$ and various σ_2. We observe, that the number of iterations is bounded by 18.

Both experiments demonstrate the robustness of the block-diagonal precondi-
tioner with respect to the involved parameters.

6. FURTHER APPLICATIONS

The presented preconditioning technique provides a robust tool for solving linear
eddy current problems with time-harmonic excitation. The theory can be extended
to multiharmonic excitations and even to problems with non-harmonic excitation
of the right-hand side. The theory in this paper is presented for exact regular-
ized problems. Furthermore we can develop this preconditioning technique also for
inexact regularized problems.

Non-harmonic excitation. By approximating any non-harmonic right-hand side by
a multiharmonic excitation in terms of a truncated Fourier series, it follows, that
the solution $\mathbf{u_N}$ has the structure:

$$(18) \qquad \mathbf{u_N}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathbf{u_k^c}(\mathbf{x}) \cos(k\omega t) + \mathbf{u_k^s}(\mathbf{x}) \sin(k\omega t).$$

Using the truncated Fourier approximation (18), the corresponding system matrix
in the frequency domain decouples into a block-diagonal matrix of the form

$$(19) \qquad \mathrm{diag}\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A_h} & k\omega\mathbf{M_{\sigma,h}} \\ -k\omega\mathbf{M_{\sigma,h}} & \mathbf{A_h} \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{k=0,\dots,N},$$

where each block has almost the same structure as the two-by-two system matrix
in (3). Hence we can apply either the exact or the inexact regularization technique
and precondition each block robustly with respect to the frequency ω, the mode k
and and the meshsize h. By approximating a general right-hand side $\mathbf{f}$ by a finite
Fourier series with N summands, we introduce an additional truncation error of
order N^{-1}.

$$(20) \qquad \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u_N}\|_{L_2((0,T),H(\mathbf{curl}))} = \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}).$$

Inexact regularization (conductivity regularization). Instead of the exact regular-
ization an inexact regularization, as for example in [4], can also be applied by
introducing a regularized conductivity σ_ε, defined as $\max\{\sigma, \varepsilon\}$ with the regular-
ization parameter $\varepsilon > 0$. In this case the same strategy can be used to construct a
block diagonal preconditioner, that is robust with respect to ω, h and σ_ε, leading
to the system matrix $\mathbf{D_h}$ and the preconditioner $\mathbf{C_h}$.

(21)
$$\mathbf{D_h} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M_{\sigma_\varepsilon,h}} & \mathbf{A_h} \\ \mathbf{A_h} & -\omega^2\mathbf{M_{\sigma_\varepsilon,h}} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{C_h} = \frac{1}{\omega} \begin{pmatrix} \omega\mathbf{M_{\sigma_\varepsilon,h}} + \mathbf{A_h} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \omega^2(\omega\mathbf{M_{\sigma_\varepsilon,h}} + \mathbf{A_h}) \end{pmatrix}$$

In contrast to the exact regularization, where no additional regularization error is
introduced, in the case of inexact regularization, we have to deal with an additional
error of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ (see [4]).

7. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

The method developed in this work shows great potential for solving both, time-
harmonic and non harmonic eddy current problems in a very efficient and robust
way, in the linear case. Up to now, theory only guarantees robustness in the case of
constant coefficients ω and σ, but currently we are working on the extension also
to the piecewise constant case. Indeed, based on the results in [9], we are working
on a domain decompostion preconditioner for the inversion of the diagonal blocks,
that guarantees robustness also for piecewise constant conductivity σ.

In the non-linear case, i.e. $\nu = \nu(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{curl}\ \mathbf{u}|)$, it turns out, that even for harmonic
excitation of the right-hand side, we have to take all frequencies $k\omega$ into account.

For earlier works see e.g. [3, 14]. Additionally, due to the nonlinearity, we lose the advantageous block-diagonal structure and therefore have to deal with a fully-coupled system of non-linear equations in the Fourier coefficients. Since the Fréchet derivative of the non-linear frequency domain equations is explicitly computable, the nonlinearity can easily be overcome by applying Newton's method. Anyhow, at each step of Newton's iteration, a huge and fully block-coupled Jacobi system with sparse blocks has to be solved. The applicableness of the parameter-robust MinRes solver to the Jacobi system is not clear at the first glance.

Acknowledgement

References

[1] D. N. Arnold, R. S. Falk, and R. Winther. Multigrid in $H(\text{div})$ and $H(\text{curl})$. *Numer. Math.*, 85(2):197–217, 2000.

[2] I. Babuška. Error-bounds for finite element method. *Numer. Math.*, 16(4):322–333, 1971.

[3] F. Bachinger, M. Kaltenbacher, and S. Reitzinger. An Efficient Solution Strategy for the HBFE Method. In *Proceedings of the IGTE '02 Symposium Graz, Austria*, pages 385–389, 2002.

[4] F. Bachinger, U. Langer, and J. Schöberl. Numerical analysis of nonlinear multiharmonic eddy current problems. *Numer. Math.*, 100(4):593–616, 2005.

[5] F. Bachinger, U. Langer, and J. Schöberl. Efficient solvers for nonlinear time-periodic eddy current problems. *Comput. Vis. Sci.*, 9(4):197–207, 2006.

[6] D. Copeland, M. Kolmbauer, and U. Langer. Domain decomposition solvers for frequency-domain finite element equation. In *Domain Decomposition Methods in Science and Engineering XIX*, volume 78 of *LNCSE*, pages 301–308, Heidelberg, 2011. Springer.

[7] D. Copeland and U. Langer. Domain Decomposition Solvers for Nonlinear Multiharmonic Finite Element Equations. *J. Numer. Math.*, 18(3):157–175, 2010.

[8] A. Greenbaum. *Iterative methods for solving linear systems*, volume 17 of *Frontiers in Applied Mathematics*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1997.

[9] Q. Hu and J. Zou. A nonoverlapping domain decomposition method for Maxwell's equations in three dimensions. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 41(5):1682–1708 (electronic), 2003.

[10] M. Kuhn. *Efficient Parallel Numerical Simulation of Magnetic Field Problems*. PhD thesis, Johannes Kepler University, Linz, 1998.

[11] J.-C. Nédélec. Mixed finite elements in $\mathbf{R}^3$. *Numer. Math.*, 35(3):315–341, 1980.

[12] J.-C. Nédélec. A new family of mixed finite elements in $\mathbf{R}^3$. *Numer. Math.*, 50(1):57–81, 1986.

[13] C. C. Paige and M. A. Saunders. Solutions of sparse indefinite systems of linear equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 12(4):617–629, 1975.

[14] G. Paoli, O. Biro, and G. Buchgraber. Complex representation in nonlinear time harmonic eddy current problems. *Magnetics, IEEE Transactions on*, 34(5):2625 –2628, Sep. 1998.

[15] C. Pechstein. ParMax, 2010. `http://www.numa.uni-linz.ac.at/P19255/software.shtml`.

[16] O. Schenk, M. Bollhöfer, and R. A. Römer. On large scale diagonalization techniques for the Anderson model of localization. *SIAM Review*, 50(1):91–112, 2008. SIGEST Paper.

[17] O. Schenk, A. Wächter, and M. Hagemann. Matching-based preprocessing algorithms to the solution of saddle-point problems in large-scale nonconvex interior-point optimization. *Comput. Optim. Appl.*, 36(2-3):321–341, April 2007.

[18] J. Schöberl and W. Zulehner. Symmetric indefinite preconditioners for saddle point problems with applications to PDE-constrained optimization problems. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 29(3):752–773, 2007.

(M. Kolmbauer) Institute of Computational Mathematics, JKU Linz
E-mail address: `kolmbauer@numa.uni-linz.ac.at`

(U. Langer) RICAM, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Linz
E-mail address: `ulanger@numa.uni-linz.ac.at`

Latest Reports in this series

2009

2010